

UNU-WIDER World Institute for Development Economics Research

Research Paper No. 2008/54

Measuring the Vulnerability of Subnational Regions

Wim Naudé,¹ Mark McGillivray,² and Stephanié Rossouw³

May 2008

Abstract

A small but growing literature has been concerned about the economic (and environmental) vulnerability on the level of countries. Less attention is paid to the economic vulnerability of different regions within countries. By focusing on the vulnerability of subnational regions, our paper contributes to the small literature on the 'vulnerability of place'. We see the vulnerability of place as being due to vulnerability in various domains, such as economic vulnerability, vulnerability of environment, and governance, demographic and health fragilities. We use a subnational dataset on 354 magisterial districts from South Africa, recognize the potential relevance of measuring vulnerability on a subnational level, and construct a *local vulnerability index* (LVI) for the various districts. We condition this index on district per capita income and term this a *vulnerability intervention index* (VII) interpreting this as an indicator of where higher income per capita, often seen in the literature as a measure of resilience, will in itself be unlikely to reduce vulnerability.

Keywords: vulnerability, regional development, decentralization, South Africa

JEL classification: O18, O55, R58

Copyright © UNU-WIDER 2008

¹ UNU-WIDER, Helsinki, email: wim@wider.unu.edu; ² Australian Agency for International Development, Canberra, email: mark.mcgillivray@ausaid.gov.au; ³ Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, email: stephanie.rossouw@aut.ac.nz

This is a revised version of a paper originally prepared for the UNU-WIDER conference on Fragile States–Fragile Groups, directed by Mark McGillivray and Wim Naudé. The conference was jointly organized by UNU-WIDER and UN-DESA, with a financial contribution from the Finnish Ministry for Foreign Affairs.

UNU-WIDER gratefully acknowledges the contributions to its project on Fragility and Development from the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID), the Finnish Ministry for Foreign Affairs, and the UK Department for International Development—DFID. Programme contributions are also received from the governments of Denmark (Royal Ministry of Foreign Affairs), Norway (Royal Ministry of Foreign Affairs) and Sweden (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency— Sida).

ISSN 1810-2611 ISBN 978-92-9230-102-6

Acronyms

CIFP	country indicators for foreign policy
CSIR	South African Centre for Industrial and Scientific Research
GGP	gross geographic product
HDI	human development index
LVI	local vulnerability index
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
PCA	principle components analysis
SOPAC	South Pacific Applied Geosciene Commission
VII	vulnerability intervention index

The World Institute for Development Economics Research (WIDER) was established by the United Nations University (UNU) as its first research and training centre and started work in Helsinki, Finland in 1985. The Institute undertakes applied research and policy analysis on structural changes affecting the developing and transitional economies, provides a forum for the advocacy of policies leading to robust, equitable and environmentally sustainable growth, and promotes capacity strengthening and training in the field of economic and social policy making. Work is carried out by staff researchers and visiting scholars in Helsinki and through networks of collaborating scholars and institutions around the world.

www.wider.unu.edu

publications@wider.unu.edu

UNU World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER) Katajanokanlaituri 6 B, 00160 Helsinki, Finland

Typescript prepared by Liisa Roponen at UNU-WIDER

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s). Publication does not imply endorsement by the Institute or the United Nations University, nor by the programme/project sponsors, of any of the views expressed.

1 Introduction

In economics,¹ vulnerability has often been defined as the risk of households falling in or remaining in poverty because of either idiosyncratic hazards (due to characteristics of the individual household) or covariate/aggregate hazards (external to the household) (e.g., Dercon 2005). More generally, however,² vulnerability refers to the risk that a 'system', such as a household, region or country would be negatively affected by 'specific perturbations that impinge on the system' or to the probability of a 'system' undergoing a negative change due to a perturbation (Gallopin 2006: 294). It can be relevant on the level of socioeconomic groups (e.g., households), places (e.g., states, regions and local areas) and across time (Turvey 2007). There is a small but growing number of attempts to measure economic vulnerability on the level of a country (Briguglio 1995, 1997; Briguglio and Galea 2003). One consequence of this literature has been recent attempts to define and measure the 'resilience' of vulnerable countries (Easter 1999; Briguglio et al. 2005), which is defined as the ability of a country to cope with economic vulnerability. Often GDP per capita is seen as a measure of resilience or compensating factor in highly vulnerable countries (Easter 1999).

On a lower level, most analyses of vulnerability have been conducted on the level of the household-as in economics. This, as pointed out by Bird, McKay and Shinyelawa (2007) is a shortcoming as far as understanding spatial poverty traps is concerned. They point to the fact that research on spatial pockets of poverty typically finds that the characteristics of a place 'may explain a significant proportion of poverty once household characteristics have been controlled for' (ibid.: 2). In particular therefore, regional level shocks to income-or regional level government capacity and action-can be a source of covariate risk to household income. Subnational regional factors exist (subnational vulnerability) that can have an impact on household incomes and wellbeing capabilities as well as on the way that they accumulate assets, as described in the framework provided by Dercon (2001). These factors can lead to both transient poverty and the occurrence of geographic poverty traps (Jalan and Ravallion 2002; Carter and Barret 2006)-and suggests that 'vulnerability of place' is distinct from national economic vulnerability or household vulnerability. Turvey (2007: 246) has recently pointed out that in order to understand the 'vulnerability of place', geography and the environment need to be taken into account as one of a number of domains across which a region or place can be seen to be vulnerable. These are typically absent from country-level economic vulnerability indices, which separate economic vulnerability from environmental vulnerability (Briguglio 2001).

Thus, while research on vulnerability on country and household level is proceeding, there is a relative lack of study on conceptualizing and measuring vulnerability on the subnational regional level. The present paper therefore aims to make the following threefold contribution to the literature. First, we attempt to fill the gap, by discussing the

¹ De Léon (2006) contains an excellent summary of the development of the concept of vulnerability outside of the field of economics, from the work of Chambers (1989) which focus on sustainable livelihoods of households, to the work sponsored by UN-DESA which focuses on vulnerability of small island states and the work of the United Nations University Institute for Environmental and Human Security (UNU-EHS).

² Different disciplines have definitions of vulnerability that differ in specifics because they focus on different components of risk (Alwang, Siegel and Jorgensen 2001)

concept and need for measuring subnational vulnerability, and by providing an example of a *local vulnerability index* (LVI) by using data from South Africa. Second, we condition the LVI on income per capita (often considered a measure of resilience) in order define a *vulnerability intervention index* (VII), as it indicates the locations where higher income per capita may be unlikely in itself to reduce vulnerability. Thus, we qualify the extent to which income per capita is useful as a measure of resilience. Third, we include in our LVI environmental and geographical indicators, explicitly taking these into account in a single composite index.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we discuss the concept of vulnerability on a subnational level. In section 3, we refer to the case of South Africa as an illustration of the usefulness of focusing on vulnerability on a subnational level. On a country level, South Africa has a relatively low economic vulnerability index (its score of 76 is comparable to that of France or Poland) but has a relatively lower resilience score, and is judged to be highly vulnerable in terms of SOPAC's³ environmental vulnerability index (Briguglio and Galea 2003). It has a number of characteristics that, in the absence of strong institutions, could render it more fragile. These include its dependence on commodity exports, high inequality in incomes and wealth-often along ethnic lines, historical grievances, high poverty and unemployment, and rising violent crime. In this paper, we argue that these factors may be more pertinent on a subnational government level. Our contribution is to fill the gap that exists in terms of understanding these factors. We point out that despite the importance of local government in South Africa, and the evident problems that they are currently facing in terms of capacity and legitimacy, there has been little economic analysis of vulnerability on a subnational level.

In section 4 we describe the characteristics of he country's subnational regions, focusing on 354 magisterial districts, and outline our methodology. Section 5 reports on the results from our local economic vulnerability index and vulnerability intervention index for South Africa, and draws out some implications for policy and further research. Section 6 concludes.

2 Vulnerability on a subnational level

Most analyses of vulnerability have been conducted on the level of the household (including dynamic analyses) (Ligon and Schechter 2003; Prowse 2003). Exceptions are Chander (1996) and Briguglio (1997) who approach country vulnerability with small states in mind and see it as the susceptibility of a country to external shocks. In the more voluminous household-level analyses, however, vulnerability refers to the risk that non-poor households will become poor, and that poor households will remain in poverty. It is therefore an ex ante measure of poverty (Holzman and Jorgenson 2000). Günther and Klasen (2007: 2) perceive vulnerability narrowly as transient poverty (as opposed to chronic poverty, or poverty 'traps'). In this paper we take a different view, based on the recognition that vulnerability at the level of a place (as against a household) can influence both transient and chronic poverty (Bird, McKay and Shinyelawa 2007), and that poverty in itself may be a source of vulnerability for a region (Hulme, Moore and

³ See South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission, www.sopac.org.

Shepherd 2001). Fragility, being an aggregate-level phenomenon (Binzel and Brück 2007: 5) can be a source of risk on the place level. One way to explain this is to acknowledge that risks to household income can have different sources. Typically, the literature identifies idiosyncratic risks (on the individual level) and covariate risks (systemic). The latter provides a link with vulnerability on the level of a region or country, since regional level shocks to income, or regional level government capacity and actions, can be a source of covariate risk. In particular, subnational level factors exist (subnational vulnerability) that will have an impact on household incomes, capabilities as well as on the way in which they accumulate assets. These factors can lead to both transient poverty and the occurrence of geographic poverty traps (Jalan and Ravallion 2002; Carter and Barret 2006).

By focusing on the vulnerability of subnational regions, our paper contributes to the small literature on the vulnerability of place. Turvey (2007: 246) describes the concept of 'vulnerability of place' and notes the lack in the literature 'of systematic empirical study that links geographic theory with vulnerability assessment'. She argues that place vulnerability is a function of economic geography and sociopolitical determinants in a given geographical region. Herein vulnerability of place is due to fragility in various domains, such as economic fragility, fragility of ecosystems and fragility related to governance and institutions.

An analysis of the vulnerability of place lends itself perhaps more readily to the consideration of non-income vulnerability (poverty) than to the analysis of household vulnerability. Hulme and McKay (2005) stress the shortcomings of focusing only on incomes in assessing vulnerability, and Günther and Klasen (2007: 3) recognize that one problem is due to the fact that 'equal incomes do not translate into equal outcomes for all ... different people are faced with different environments for translating income gains into non-income wellbeing gains'. In this paper we will attempt to provide a method for measuring the degree to which different geographical environments/regions are more conducive in this regard.

3 Subnational vulnerability in South Africa

Despite its troubled history and economic stagnation during the 1980s and early 1990s, South Africa is not a fragile state nor is it seen as being economically highly vulnerable. Its per capita GDP of US\$13,000 places it in the middle-income country category. On a country level, South Africa has a relatively low economic vulnerability index (its score of 76 is comparable to that of France or Poland) but has a relatively lower resilience score, and is judged to be highly vulnerable in terms of SOPAC's⁴ environmental vulnerability index (Briguglio and Galea 2003). The country has avoided significant domestic conflict, managed the transition to democratic government in 1994, is characterized by monetary and fiscal stability, and has seen its highest growth of almost a century taking place over the past five years.

Although not a fragile, low-income or economically highly vulnerable state as conventionally defined, South Africa has a number of characteristics that, in the absence

⁴ See South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission, www.sopac.org

of strong institutions, could render it more vulnerable. These include as its dependence on commodity exports, high inequality in incomes and wealth—often along ethnic lines, historical grievances, high poverty and unemployment and rising violent crime. In this paper, we take it as a point of departure that these factors may be more pertinent on a subnational government level. This is so for two reasons.

First, the country's high spatial inequality in economic activity will have given rise to significantly different social and economic conditions across space. Naudé and Krugell (2003, 2006) investigate spatial inequalities in incomes and note an absence of convergence in per capita incomes between the country's subnational regions. Rossouw and Naudé (2008) construct indices of the non-income quality of life on a subnational level in South Africa and find significant variation across space in non-income quality of life, including environmental quality.

Second, institutional quality at local level is very uneven across South Africa. Both capacity constraints as well as reduced legitimacy have been noted as factors that compromise the quality of local government institutions in South Africa. In his 2007 state of the nation address, the South Africa State President referred to 'stubborn capacity constraints in local government'. The remark followed the mixed success of a two-year project to provide capacity support to 136 (out of 283) local municipalities that were identified to be failing in critical areas. Furthermore, the very legitimacy of many local governments is in doubt. In a recent review of local governments, Steytler (2005: 208) remarks that 'local government's legitimacy as a sphere of government is not high', pointing to such indicators as the low esteem in which citizens hold councillors, and the low voter turnout in local elections. A further indicator is the rising number of violent protests against local governments: at the time of writing the popular press had documented such uprisings in more than 20 localities over 2004-07 alone.⁵

The upshot of the above is that there is likely to be significantly more variation in the degree of fragility and vulnerability at subnational level in South Africa than would be reflected in the aggregate and national indicators. Weaknesses in capacity and legitimacy at country level are typical of fragile states (Anderson 2005: 2) and the differing economic, non-economic and environment aspects of the quality of life are consistent with the determinants of vulnerability at regional and household levels.

Our concern in this paper is to construct indicators to identify and analyse local vulnerability and its sources. This is important for a number of reasons.

First, objective indicators can be useful to identify municipalities that might require assistance from national government and/or donors. In South Africa, local governments are constitutionally responsible for economic development, and are entitled to an 'equitable' share of taxes raised nationally, in addition to raising their own revenue through property taxes and service charges. As recently stressed by Ahmed, Brosio and Gonzalez (2006: 5), transfers to local governments need to be based on a 'formula-based allocation system reliant on objective, quantifiable indicators'. However, the rules or formulae according to which these equitable shares are currently allocated in South

⁵ These places are Cape Town (Langa, Gugulethu, Khayelitsa, Happy Valley, Blackheath, Ocean View), Johannesburg (Diepsloot), Pretoria (Mamelodi, Lotus Gardens), Port Elizabeth, Durban (Cato Manor), Harrismith, Secunda, Potchefstroom, Bloemhof, Khutsong, Matatiele, Bushbuckridge, Henneman, Kgotsong.

Africa make no provision for the vulnerability of a local economy or the fragility of its institutions. Moreover, the extent to which local government can access international aid may inversely depend on its vulnerability. Knowledge of the location and nature of the most vulnerable subnational regions may be important in supporting the call for more aid and the government's own financial resources to fragile regions, as well as the type/mix of aid flowing to subnational governments.

Second, such indicators can inform the monitoring of local government—and even raise attention to vulnerability (Turvey 2007: 255). The case for monitoring needs to be made not merely for determining whether particular subnational regions are becoming more or less vulnerable or fragile, but also for monitoring the spillover effects from a fragile and vulnerable region onto its neighbours. There is a lack of research on the financial costs that these regions impose on their neighbours, and a measurement of vulnerability on a subnational level can be a first step in such an attempt. The South African Constitution makes the provincial governments responsible for the monitoring of local governments, and the national treasury also fulfils an important monitoring role.

Third, if compiled properly, these indicators may provide information on the sources of vulnerability. Different sources of vulnerability (risk) will have different implications for chronic and transient poverty and thus whether structural or short-term actions are required to address the vulnerability (risk).

Fourth, unless vulnerability is addressed on a local (subnational) level, attempts to significantly reduce poverty and attain the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) may be compromised. Kanbur and Venables (2005) point out that if spatial inequality is on the increase between various regions, as is the case in South Africa, that particular country's inequality as a whole is also likely to increase.

Fifth, given the rising tendency towards decentralization in developing countries, the success of national development increasingly hinges on the performance of subnational governments. Apart from South Africa, developing countries where constitutional changes have decentralized powers and functions to lower spheres of government include Brazil, India and Nigeria (Steytler 2005: i), Sierra Leone (Jackson 2005), Afghanistan (Lister and Wilder 2005), and Zimbabwe (Conyers 2003).

Despite the importance of local government in South Africa, and the evident problems that they are facing in terms of capacity and legitimacy, there is a lack of economic analysis of the fragility and vulnerability on a subnational level. Existing research focuses more on the vulnerability of particular groups and groups of households than on regions. There is, for instance, a growing literature on the vulnerability faced by those with HIV-positive status, by rural women, the unemployed, and those employed in the informal sector. In addition, a number of studies have focused on (income) poverty dynamics in South Africa and have constructed indices of poverty and/or deprivation (Klasen 2000; Mattes et al. 2003) and a service deprivation index (UNDP 2003). There have also been studies within the field of urban and regional planning focusing on central place indices and the potential of certain small towns (see, e.g., Krige, Schur, and Sippel 1998). However, these are all based on household survey data or planning data, covering only limited geographical areas (extending at most to the level of the country's nine provinces) and periods (limited in most cases to the period 1996-2001).

Five studies that come the closest in spirit to our paper are the poverty maps compiled by Alderman et al. (2000), the four deprivation indices at magisterial district level for 1996 by McIntyre (2000), the provincial indices of multiple deprivation⁶ for 2001 by Noble et al. (2006), indices of growth potential⁷ for the towns in the Western Cape by Zietsman et al. (2006) and an index for the non-economic quality of life at a magisterial district level by Rossouw and Naudé (2008). These studies all concur that South Africa is characterized by significant subnational variation in poverty, deprivation and quality of life. The Eastern Cape and Limpopo Provinces are identified as the provinces with the highest incidences of poverty and deprivation, and the Western Cape and Gauteng are with the least, although even in a relatively prosperous province of Western Cape, significant variation exists in poverty and growth outcomes, with towns with high and very high 'growth potential' predominantly located close to the Cape Town metropolis and along the south Cape coast (Zietsman et al. 2006: 695). While these studies take note of the static spatial inequalities in poverty, deprivation and quality of life in South Africa, Naudé and Krugell (2003, 2006), using panel-data growth regressions, find little evidence of convergence in per capita incomes among the magisterial districts since 1996.

Useful as these studies are to the understanding of the spatial patterns of poverty and deprivation in South Africa, they focus only on poverty outcomes ex post. Furthermore, as we will show, these indices tend to be fairly strongly correlated with per capita income, suggesting their possible redundancy as proper measures of non-income poverty and poverty dynamics. In light of our discussion of the concept vulnerability, they are clearly inadequate, as far as risk to unforeseen events and local capacity to deal with existing and possible future poverty is concerned.

4 Empirical analysis

4.1 Geographic context

South Africa has 283 local governments, which include 234 local municipalities, 6 metropolitan governments and 43 district municipalities. This current municipal demarcation dates back to December 2000 when the country was divided into 354 magisterial districts at the local government level. In this paper, we will focus on the earlier demarcation of 354 magisterial districts for two reasons. First, our dataset, with its basis in the 1996 and 2001 census boundaries, follows the magisterial district boundaries. Second, the pre-December demarcation of 354 districts provides a finer spatial view than the 283 municipalities. A brief overview of the historical patterns that shaped the South African space economy is provided in Naudé and Krugell (2003). Table 1 summarizes the salient socioeconomic features of these districts for the period 1996 to 2005 (see the discussion on the data in the section below). Table 1 was

⁶ These indices, although reported on the level of magisterial districts, have been compiled on a province by province basis, making comparisons between localities across provinces impossible. Also, these indices fail to distinguish between chronically deprived and partial or non-chronically deprived areas as argued in Anderson (2007). As a result, their usefulness in being used as subnational indicators of vulnerability is limited.

⁷ Zietsman et al. (2006) compile three composite indices to measure the 'growth potential' of towns in the Western Cape Province: a resource index, an infrastructure index and an economic index.

compiled after the 354 magisterial districts were divided in quintiles based on per capita income. Quintile 1 includes the districts with the highest average per capita income and quintile 5 those with the lowest.

Table 1 illustrates the degree of spatial inequalities that exists in South Africa on subnational (magisterial) level. For one, the average per capita income of the highest earning quintile is almost double that of the next highest quintile, and more than five times that of regions in the bottom quintile. Regions with higher per capita income also experienced, on average, higher economic growth, lower poverty and unemployment, a better human development index (HDI), and less HIV. On average, the richer places in South Africa were also located closer to an export hub (international port), were exporting more of their gross geographic product (GGP), and were spending more government resources per capita on capital goods than the poorer magisterial districts. Also, richer locations were endowed with better educated workers and better access to financial services. Finally we can note that the locations in quintile 1 experienced higher volatility in their GDP growth rates, as measured by the standard deviation of GDP growth rates over the period 1996-2005 than, for instance, poorer areas in quintile 5. One possible explanation could be that the places in quintile 1 are, on average, more open for trade (exporting on average 16 per cent of GDP compared to only 3 per cent of those in quintile 5) and thus more susceptible to changes in the external trade environment (see Briguglio 1995).

Socioeconomic variable	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5
Avg per capita income	R 27,229	R 14,076	R 9,758	R 7,131	R 5,183
Avg GDP growth rate (%)	3.30	2.00	1.20	1.10	0.90
Avg total population	188,832	87,600	110,597	133,301	116,982
Avg HDI	0.66	0.56	0.51	0.47	0.42
People in poverty, avg no.	45,330	34,856	49,049	85,205	78,480
People in poverty, as (%) of total population	25.10	44.20	53.10	65.20	69.80
Avg exports as (%) of GDP	16	9	2	2	3
Avg no. of people HIV+	5324	8251	12445	13311	17201
Avg export diversity index (1-not diverse; 0-very diverse manufacturing sector)	0.55	0.64	0.76	0.73	0.8
Avg total degraded land as (%) of total area	6.87	6.87	6.87	6.88	6.95
Avg no. of people per ABSA bank branch	61,907	64,985	94,751	111,803	133,739
Avg distance from closest export hub/market (km)	157.91	223.25	256.46	274.38	218.44
Avg total land covered by waterbodies, wetlands and forest (km ²)	44.504	40.504	43.241	27.43	44.139
Avg per capita capital expenditure by local government (R'000)	R 938.43	R 307.69	R 357.10	R 161.31	R 173.88
Local financial sector's share (%) of national financial sector	1.78	0.45	0.25	0.1	0.05
Avg no. of adults with no schooling	7,182	5,816	6,942	13,134	12,604
Avg unemployment rate (%)	22	30	36	53	61
Avg income volatility (std dev. in GDP growth over the period 1996-2005)	0.0359	0.0326	0.0296	0.0282	0.0284

 Table 1

 Socioeconomic features of South African magisterial districts (Average over the period 1996-2005)

Sources: See Table 2.

4.2 Methodology

Outline of approach

Our methodology consists of two steps. First, we construct a *local vulnerability index* (LVI) for each of the 354 magisterial districts in South Africa. We follow the methodologies of CIFP (2006) and Liou and Ding (2004): these and the resulting index are discussed below. Second, we run a regression of the LVI on per capita income, with the resulting residuals being interpreted as a measure to inform interventions aimed at reducing vulnerability. We call this measure the *vulnerability intervention index* (VII). This exercise follows from the fact that the LVI is, as in the case of other fragility or vulnerability indices, significantly correlated with per capita income. For instance the CIFP (2006) itself is highly correlated with the HDI (with a correlation coefficient of 0.9), which in turn is known to be highly correlated with per capita income (McGillivray 2005).

Measuring vulnerability

In constructing the LVI for subnational areas in South Africa, we take into consideration the various approaches currently being used to measure the vulnerability of countries and to construct vulnerability indices. The basic reason for constructing these indices is to identify the basic economic and environmental susceptibility of a country.

An early economic vulnerability index was prepared by Briguglio in 1992 for UNCTAD, and was further developed in 1994 for the UN Global Conference on the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (Briguglio 2001). Subsequently, economic vulnerability indices have been constructed for UN-DESA and by the Commonwealth Secretariat (Easter 1999). As Briguglio notes (2001), there are basic methods for compiling a vulnerability index. One method used by Briguglio (1997) is to normalize the variables selected to take their averages. The second procedure, used by CIFP in measuring country fragility or the SOPAC for their environmental vulnerability index, is to map variables on a categorical scale (e.g., 1 to 9). The third option is to use regression based methods to estimate predicted values for an index, as is done by the Commonwealth Secretariat. In this paper we utilize the latter two methods.

What type of indicators should be used in the compilation of the index? Liou and Ding (2004) use factor analysis to construct a vulnerability index from a set of six indicators, namely, domestic economic scale, international trade capacity, development level, degree of output volatility, inflow of external resources, and institutional capacity. Easter (1998) compiles a vulnerability index for small states that consists of three indicators: export dependency ratio, merchandise export diversification and susceptibility to natural disasters.

Turvey (2007), focusing only on small island developing states, constructs a composite vulnerability index (CVI) using four broad groups of indicators: coastal indicators, peripherality indicators, urbanization indicators, and indicators of the vulnerability to natural disasters.

A local vulnerability index

Following Liou and Ding (2004), CIFP (2006) and Turvey (2007) we first use a principal components analysis to extract the common factors from a number of domains

influencing the vulnerability of a place. These domains (or sub-indices) are:

- Size of the local economy. The larger an economy, the less vulnerable or fragile it is considered to be (Liou and Ding 2004). This dimension is measured on the basis of population, GDP, population density and THE urbanization rate of the magisterial district.
- Structure of the local economy. Economies relying on a single economic sector or resource are more vulnerable than the more diversified ones. Here we use the share of primary production (consisting of the contributions of mining and agriculture) as an indicator of the local economy's structure.
- International trade capacity. Risk factors to local incomes include not only factors that may adversely affect local production, but also those that may affect local trade ability. Open economies, and economies exporting a variety of goods rather than a single product can be seen as less vulnerable than the more closed, specialized economies. For this domain, we use the ratio of exports and imports to local GDP (as in Briguglio 1995) as well as a measure of export diversification constructed by Matthee and Naudé (2007), whereas a value close to 0 refers to a diverse exporting manufacturing sector, and a value close to 1 refers to only one exporting manufacturing sector in the particular magisterial district.
- Peripherality, or remoteness is noted by Turvey (2007) to be positively related to fragility. Here, following Briguglio 1995), we measure remoteness by the distance from market, i.e., the kilometre distance from the magisterial district to its closest export hub/market; this is derived from Naudé and Matthee (2007).
- The development level of a district is inversely related to vulnerability. We measure this domain through the HDI, the percentage of total population in poverty and the unemployment rate in a particular magisterial district.
- Income volatility is often seen as a direct measure of an area's income 'riskiness' (Liou and Ding 2004). We measure this as the standard deviation of GDP growth in a particular magisterial district over the period 1996 to 2005.
- Demography and health affect vulnerability through the ability of households in a region to withstand or avoid negative future shocks. This is measured through the population growth rate and the incidence of HIV/AIDS in a district. The incidence of HIV/AIDS can be seen as a proxy for the pressure on health services and average life expectancy in a region.
- Governance refers to the willingness and capability of local governments to enact and implement pro-poor policies. There is no single, generally accepted measure of governance. In the international literature a whole range of indicators are available, unfortunately none of which exists at a subnational level. Here, we measure governance through the degree to which a local government allocates financial resources to long-term development, as reflected in the per capita capital budget expenditure of a magisterial district. In districts where there is less capital expenditure per capita, it is assumed that households would be more vulnerable than in areas where higher capital expenditure per capita results in local roads, shelter and sanitation. In terms of the South African fiscal system, these categories, with few exceptions, are the sole responsibility of local government.

- Environment and geography matter for vulnerability. They affect households' transaction and trade costs (through topography and environmental fragility) as well as household incomes (in deciding, for instance, agricultural production and crops) and quality of life (through environmental quality). To measure this domain we use a number of variables calculated from the South African Centre for Industrial and Scientific Research's (CSIR) satellite imagery and aerial photography of the country as well as information obtained from the South African Meteorological Services. These include total degraded land (per cent of size of area), proportion of forest-covered land, waterbodies and wetlands and rainfall (annual average in mm).
- The financial system can be an important mechanism for managing and reducing vulnerability and for ex post management of adverse income shocks. Households with little or no access to the formal financial system can be regarded as being more vulnerable than those with better access. We measure the access to financial systems on a regional level through the number of people per bank branch⁸ per magisterial district. We also measure it by the ratio of the percentage share of the country's financial sector in a particular magisterial district to the percentage share of the country's population residing in the said magisterial district.

In the each of the above domains where multiple indicators were possible, the principal components analysis is used to simplify the selection. The resulting first component of each of the domains is then reserved as the indicator for that specific domain/sub-index. This method can be justified in view of the fact that the first principal component accounts for the greatest variance and the components are ordered in size as they are extracted. For the dimensions that consist of one variable, that particular value is used in the final calculation of the LVI. For the subsequent construction of the LVI, principal components analysis is once again used on either the value of a specific domain or the first principal component saved for each domain to extract the final LVI value. After principal component was once again saved and used as the final LVI value.

For each of the ten indicators listed above, a relative score (ranking) for each subnational magisterial district is calculated. The average over the period 1996 to 2005 is calculated (the dataset utilized is discussed in the following section). The overall rankings are divided into nine (roughly) equal groups and converted into a 9-point index (the highest ranking group of 40 magisterial districts is given a score of one, the subsequent ranking, a score of two, etc.). Each magisterial district is then given a final (aggregate) score as the average of all its ten scores. As in CIFP (2006), a magisterial district with a low score is considered to perform well relative to other districts, and a magisterial district with a high score performs poorly relative to the others.

An income-conditioned vulnerability index

It was noted above that vulnerability tends to be correlated with per capita income. This is true of the LVI. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient between this variable and income per capita for our sample of 354 magisterial districts is -0.569. It is no surprise

⁸ ABSA bank branches were used seeing as it is South Africa's largest financial services provider.

that the relationship is negative. Traditional development strategies aimed *inter alia* at increasing per capita incomes are thus appropriate as they also reduce local vulnerability. Yet, the correlation between the LVI and the per capita magisterial district income is not perfect. Some districts—intentionally or otherwise—are better than others at achieving lower vulnerability with higher incomes. This has important implications for policy. It suggests that there are factors other than achieved incomes that drive vulnerability levels. This, of course, is hardly a revelation. The relevance for policy is that actions taken to reduce local vulnerability should not rely primarily on increasing incomes in districts where vulnerability levels deviate substantially from those predicted by their incomes per capita. A measure of this deviation would appear to be appropriate, therefore. We label this measure as the *vulnerability intervention index*. Let us be very clear about what we mean by the term 'intervention'. We refer to interventions that directly tackle vulnerability, rather than a passive strategy that relies on reductions in vulnerability that are due either directly or indirectly to higher income levels. The higher the value of this index, the greater the case for such interventions.

The measure follows directly from the preceding observations. It is obtained by first estimating the following regression using the ordinary least squares method:

$$LVI_i = \alpha + \beta Y_i + \mu_i \qquad i = 1, ..., 354 \tag{1}$$

where LVI_i is the local vulnerability index value for magisterial district *i*, α is an intercept term, β is a slope coefficient, Y_i is some transformation of the per capita income of magisterial district *i* and μ_i is an error term. The transformation of income is based on the recognition that the relationship between local vulnerability and per capita income will be non-linear. The transformation, based on the well-known Atkinson formula for the utility of income, is as follows:

$$Y_i = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} y_i^{1 - \varepsilon} \tag{2}$$

where y_i is the magisterial per capita income prior the transformation and ε is a parameter measuring the extent of diminishing returns in the conversion of income into lower vulnerability. If $\varepsilon = 0$ there are no diminishing returns and Y_i reduces to y_i . As ε approaches unity Y_i becomes the natural logarithm of y_i . Our vulnerability intervention index for any given district *i* is simply the absolute value of the estimated value μ_i obtained from estimating Equation (1). It is therefore written as:

$$VII_i = \left| \hat{\mu}_i \right| \tag{3}$$

where VII_i is the vulnerability intervention index for magisterial district *i*.

Before presenting the results, we discuss the data and variables used.

4.3 Data and variables

The data used for the indices were obtained from Global Insight's Regional Economic Focus (REF) (see www.globalinsight.co.za) which in turn is compiled from various official sources of data, such as Statistics SA Census and survey data, as well as data

variables and data sources				
Variable	Source of data			
Total population, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
GDP growth (%), 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Population density, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Urbanization rate(%), 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Proportion of primary production, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Exports as (%) of GDP, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Imports as (%) of GDP, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Diversity in exports, 1996-2005	Matthee and Naudé (2007)			
Distance from closest hub/market, 1996-2005	Naudé and Matthee (2007)			
HDI, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
No. of people in poverty as (%) of total, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Unemployment rate (%),1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Volatility in income, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Population growth rate (%), 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Total people HIV+, 1996-2005	Quantec Easydata, RSA Regional Market Indicators (2007)			
Capital budget expenditure/ local municipalities (R '000)	Statistics South Africa			
Average rainfall (annual mm), 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Degraded land (%) of total area, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
Total land cover km ² (forests, waterbodies & wetlands)	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			
No. of population per bank branch	Naudé et al. 2008			
GDP share of the financial services sector, 1996-2005	Regional Economic Focus data from Global Insight			

Table 2 Variables and data sources

from the CSIR's satellite imagery (used for environmental data). Table 2 summarizes

the variables and sources of data.

5 Results

In this section we outline the results of the compilation of our indices as follows. First, we set out and discuss our local vulnerability index (LVI) as calculated using principal components analysis (PCA) and following international examples on the level of countries (such as the country indicators for foreign policy-CIFP). Second, we measure the correlation of this LVI with per capita income, and construct an income-conditioned LVI which we term the vulnerability intervention index (VII). The LVI and VII are given in Appendixes A and B, respectively. Figure 1 shows the least and most vulnerable magisterial districts in South Africa based on the LVI.

5.1 The local vulnerability index

Table 3 gives the results as derived from the combination of the various 9-point indices, highlighting the 20 magisterial districts in the least-vulnerable and most-vulnerable groups. See Appendix A for the complete ranking and Figure 1 for the location of all magisterial districts ranked from least vulnerable to most vulnerable.

As can be seen from Panel A, all six metropolitan areas are in the least vulnerable category with respect to external/internal shocks to the South African economy. Most of the magisterial districts (non-metropolitan areas) considered to be the least vulnerable are located in the proximity of one of the metropolises, which could be interpreted that the 'closeness' of a metropolitan area to the particular magisterial district helps to insulate it against shocks.

Panel B lists the magisterial districts considered to be the most vulnerable to any external/internal shocks to the economy of the country. The results suggest that isolation is a significant factor driving subnational vulnerability (see also Bird and McKay 2007) since most of these districts are remote and isolated from any nearby hub of economic activity.

Location	Final I VI	Ranking	Location	Final I VI	Ranking
		. to	Panal A: Loast vulnarable dist		. turning
			Pariel A. Least vulnerable dist	Incls	
Goodwood	1.4	1	Randburg	2.1	11
Durban	1.6	2	Pretoria	2.1	12
Johannesburg	1.7	3	Pietermaritzburg	2.2	13
Cape Town	1.7	4	Krugersdorp	2.2	14
Bellville	1.8	5	Soweto	2.3	15
Kuilsrivier	1.9	6	Pinetown	2.4	16
Chatsworth	1.9	7	Boksburg	2.4	17
Umlazi	2	8	Stellenbosch	2.5	18
Port Elizabeth	2	9	Springs	2.5	19
Wynberg	2.1	10	Paarl	2.5	20
			Panel B: Most vulnerable dist	ricts	
Hanover	7.7	1	Theunissen	6.9	11
Huhudi	7.5	2	Colesberg	6.8	12
Lady Grey	7.4	3	Fauresmith	6.8	13
Richmond	7.3	4	Philipstown	6.8	14
Amersfoort	7.2	5	Britstown	6.7	15
Bolobedu	7.1	6	Dannhauser	6.7	16
Hofmeyer	7.1	7	Elliot	6.7	17
Sekgosese	7.1	8	Koffiefontein	6.7	18
Barkley-West	7	9	Malamulela	6.7	19
Kudumane	6.9	10	Mpofu	6.7	20

Table 3
Vulnerability of magisterial districts in South Africa according to the LV

Source: Authors' own calculations.

Figure 1 depicts the location of the least to the most vulnerable magisterial districts in South Africa. All the magisterial districts indicated by the darker colour are considered to be the most vulnerable to any external/internal shocks to the South African economy.

Figure 1 Vulnerability of the magisterial districts in South Africa according to the LVI



Source: Compiled for the authors, based on own calculations.

14

5.2 The vulnerability intervention index

The vulnerability intervention index (*VII_i*) was estimated for all 354 magisterial districts. In converting income into reduced local vulnerability, careful consideration was given the chosen value of ε , the parameter measuring the extent of diminishing returns. According to the criterion used, the chosen value was the one that returned the highest function fit (based on adjusted R²s) from estimating Equation (1). Values within the range of 0.1 to 0.9 in intervals of 0.05 were considered, along the logarithm of *yi* (as mentioned above, this corresponds to a value of ε that approaches unity). This process led to a value of 0.80 being chosen for ε .

Table 4 shows the twenty locations with the highest VII values; that is, the highest absolute values of the residual obtained from the regression of the LVI on per capita income and defined in Equation (3) above. In these sites, the level of vulnerability as predicted by per capita income deviates from their actual vulnerability level, suggesting that increases in per capita income will perhaps not be effective in reducing vulnerability. Given the implication that this would require interventions aimed at addressing the underlying sources of non-income vulnerability, this residual in (3) can be interpreted as a VII.

As can be noted, vulnerability is high in certain locations (Kuruman) but low in others (Pietermaritzburg). It would suggest that building resilience by efforts to raise per capita incomes would perhaps not address the root causes of vulnerability as effectively as it would elsewhere. Here, addressing the root causes of vulnerability more directly is implied; interventions may be necessary for each of the non-income dimensions of the index. For example, national and local governments could address the remoteness of many of these locations directly through improvements in infrastructure and reductions in domestic transport costs. To determine the extent to which the most vulnerable magisterial districts in South Africa exhibit such low resilience through income per capita, Table 5 lists these districts, based on the LVI and the corresponding VII.

Table 5 shows that only five of the twenty most vulnerable magisterial districts in South Africa were also amongst the districts with the highest VII. This suggests that, first, attempts to reduce vulnerability through increased per capita income in these districts would be important. Second, there are five districts—Hanover, Huhudi, Lady Grey, Richmond and Theunissen—where increases in per capita income may not be sufficient.

9		6		
VII	Rank	Location	VII	Rank
3.442065	1	Mitchellsplain	2.464736	11
3.118176	2	Phalaborwa	2.456742	12
3.021128	3	Richmond	2.454046	13
2.916892	4	Pietermaritzburg	2.403063	14
2.681625	5	Goodwood	2.364468	15
2.653671	6	Heidelberg	2.319403	16
2.621291	7	Lady Grey	2.265819	17
2.511939	8	Kliprivier	2.189867	18
2.508449	9	Soshanguve	2.167464	19
2.496317	10	Huhudi	2.087433	20
	VII 3.442065 3.118176 3.021128 2.916892 2.681625 2.653671 2.621291 2.511939 2.508449 2.496317	VII Rank 3.442065 1 3.118176 2 3.021128 3 2.916892 4 2.681625 5 2.653671 6 2.621291 7 2.511939 8 2.508449 9 2.496317 10	VII Rank Location 3.442065 1 Mitchellsplain 3.118176 2 Phalaborwa 3.021128 3 Richmond 2.916892 4 Pietermaritzburg 2.681625 5 Goodwood 2.653671 6 Heidelberg 2.621291 7 Lady Grey 2.511939 8 Kliprivier 2.508449 9 Soshanguve 2.496317 10 Huhudi	VII Rank Location VII 3.442065 1 Mitchellsplain 2.464736 3.118176 2 Phalaborwa 2.456742 3.021128 3 Richmond 2.454046 2.916892 4 Pietermaritzburg 2.403063 2.681625 5 Goodwood 2.364468 2.653671 6 Heidelberg 2.319403 2.621291 7 Lady Grey 2.265819 2.511939 8 Kliprivier 2.189867 2.508449 9 Soshanguve 2.167464 2.496317 10 Huhudi 2.087433

 Table 4

 Magisterial districts in South Africa with the highest VII

Source: Authors' own calculations.

Place	Final LVI	Ranking	Ranking in VII
Hanover	7.7	1	7
Huhudi	7.5	2	20
Lady Grey	7.4	3	17
Richmond	7.3	4	13
Amersfoort	7.2	5	25
Bolobedu	7.1	6	68
Hofmeyer	7.1	7	42
Sekgosese	7.1	8	80
Barkley-West	7.0	9	33
Kudumane	6.9	10	82
Theunissen	6.9	11	10
Colesberg	6.8	12	41
Fauresmith	6.8	13	24
Philipstown	6.8	14	27
Britstown	6.7	15	49
Dannhauser	6.7	16	115
Elliot	6.7	17	65
Koffiefontein	6.7	18	21
Malamulela	6.7	19	133
Mpofu	6.7	20	164

 Table 5

 The most vulnerable magisterial districts and their corresponding VII ranking

Source: Authors' own calculations.

Selected characteristics of the most vulnerable magisterial districts						
Place	Population density	% of primary production in GDP	Km from hub/markets	Population size in 2005	Degraded land, %	
Hanover	1	42	322	4,891	15.0	
Huhudi	4	50	426	113,004	17.5	
Lady Grey	6	55	367	8,178	3.2	
Richmond	54	62	400	6,852	8.5	
Theunissen	28	86	264	41,211	14.1	

 Table 6

 Selected characteristics of the most vulnerable magisterial districts

Source: Global Insight Regional Explorer (2007).

The high vulnerability of these districts should not be addressed only by efforts attempting to increase per capita income, but more directly through the underlying causes of vulnerability. Some of these can be explored from the non-income domains that underlie the construction of the LVI.

For illustrative purposes, Table 6 shows the population density, primary production share and remoteness of the five most vulnerable places with high VII. The table indicates that in these five magisterial districts, the local economies are characterized by a dominance of primary production, low population densities, and remoteness from major internal markets and export hubs. The table also shows that degraded land ranges from a low of 3.2 per cent to as high as 17.5 per cent. Moreover, population in these vulnerable areas tends to be low in numbers, apart from Huhudi and Theunissen. Furthermore, Huhudi was found be to a relatively high population growth (on average 0.9 per cent) compared to population growth rates in other areas that are almost stable

(at around 0.2 per cent growth per annum). This underlines the importance of nonincome interventions in Huhudi: these could be aimed at economic diversification, population densification in terms of settlement development, provision of infrastructure (including transportation links) and land rehabilitation and protection.

6 Concluding remarks

There are subnational regional factors that will affect household income, wellbeing capabilities and the way assets are accumulated. These factors can lead to both transient poverty and the occurrence of geographic poverty traps. Moreover, concerns with respect to broadly-defined poverty must focus on vulnerability. The 'vulnerability of place', distinct from national economic vulnerability or household vulnerability, is a potentially important concept that needs to be taken into consideration in dealing with human wellness within a country. Given the relative few existing studies on subnational vulnerability, the present paper aimed to make three contributions.

First, we discussed the concept and need for measuring subnational vulnerability. We provided an example of a local vulnerability index by using data from South Africa based on ten vulnerability domains across the country's 354 magisterial districts and illustrated how a LVI can be derived. The LVI made it possible to identify locations with high, moderate and low vulnerability. In the case of South Africa, places with high vulnerability are located mostly in the north-western interior, which is characterized by remoteness and environmental fragility. The major metropolitan areas are generally areas of low or moderate vulnerability.

Second, we conditioned the LVI on income per capita (which is often used as a measure of resilience) and interpreted the saved residuals as a vulnerability intervention index (VII). This indicated the regions where higher income per capita in itself may be unlikely to reduce vulnerability. Thus, a part of this paper's contribution was in qualifying the extent to which income per capita is useful as a measure of resilience.

Third, in our LVI, we included environmental and geographical indicators, explicitly taking these into account in a single composite index. We find from the South African illustration that there are indeed a number of districts where levels of vulnerability are high, and where increases in income per capita will not necessarily lead to a reduction in vulnerability. Many of these places are affected by low population numbers and low population growth rates. However, the study identified one district, Huhudi, which has a population of 100,000 and a relatively population growth: here, intervention to address the non-income aspects of vulnerability would be important. We argue that in areas similar to Huhudi, interventions should be aimed at the underlying non-income factors that drive the LVI, such as environmental and geographical indicators. In South Africa, it was in particular the remoteness, dominance of primary (agricultural) production in the economy, and low population densities that characterized the magisterial districts with both high vulnerability and a high vulnerability intervention index.

References

- Ahmed, E., G. Brosio, and M. Gonzalez (2006). 'Uganda: Managing More Efficient Decentralization'. IMF Working Paper WP/06/279. Washington, DC: IMF.
- Alderman, H., M. Babita, J. Lanjouw, P. Lanjouw, N. Makhatha, A. Mohamed, B. Ozler, and O. Qaba (2000). 'Combining Census and Poverty Data to Construct a Poverty Map of South Africa'. In R. Hirschowitz (ed.), *Measuring Poverty in South Africa*. Pretoria: Statistics South Africa, pp. 5-52. Available at www.dti.gov.za/econdb/poverty.pdf.
- Alwang, J., P. B. Siegel, and S. L. Jorgensen (2001). 'Vulnerability: A View from Different Disciplines'. Social Protection Discussion Paper 0115. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Anderson, E. (2007). 'Identifying Chronically Deprived Countries: Results from Cluster Analysis'. CPRC Working Paper 70. Manchester: Chronic Poverty Research Centre, University of Manchester.
- Anderson, I. (2005). 'Fragile States: What Is International Experience Telling Us? Canberra: AusAID. Available at: www.ausaid.gov.au.
- Binzel, C., and T. Brück (2007). 'Analyzing Conflict and Fragility at the Micro-Level'. Berlin: German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin), Humboldt University.
- Bird, K., A. McKay, and I. Shinyelawa (2007). 'Isolation and Poverty in Uganda: Applying an Index of Isolation'. Paper presented at the international workshop on Understanding and Addressing Spatial Poverty Traps, 29 March. Stellenbosch.
- Briguglio, L. (1995). 'Small Island Developing States and their Economic Vulnerabilities'. *World Development*, 23 (9): 1615-32.
- Briguglio, L. (1997). 'Alternative Economic Vulnerability Indices for Developing Countries'. Report prepared for the Expert Group on the Vulnerability Index. New York: New York: UNDESA.
- Briguglio, L. (2001). 'The Vulnerability Index'. Paper presented at the AOSIS Workshop on Trade, Sustainable Development and SIDS, 12-15 December. Montego Bay, Jamaica.
- Briguglio, L., and W. Galea (2003). 'Updating the Economic Vulnerability Index'. Occasional Papers on Islands and Small States, 2003-4. Malta: Islands and Small States Institute, University of Malta.
- Briguglio, L., G. Cordina, N. Farrugia, and S. Vella (2005). 'Conceptualizing and Measuring Economic Resilience'. Paper presented at the Workshop on Building the Economic Resilience of Small States, 7-9 March. Gozo, Malta.
- Carter, M. R., and B. C. Barret (2006). 'The Economics of Poverty Traps and Persistent Poverty: An Asset-based Approach'. *Journal of Development Studies*, 42 (2): 178-99.
- Chander, D. R. (1996). *Measurement of the Vulnerability of Small States*. Report prepared for the Commonwealth Secretariat. London.

- CIFP (Country Indicators for Foreign Policy) (2006). Failed and Fragile States 2006: a Briefing Note for the Canadian Government. Ottawa: CIDA.
- Conyers, D. (2003). 'Decentralization in Zimbabwe: A Local Perspective'. *Public* Administration and Development, 23 (1): 115-24.
- CPRC (2004). *The Chronic Poverty Report 2004-05*. Manchester: Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.
- De Léon, J. C. V. (2006). 'Vulnerability: A Conceptual and Methodological Review'. SOURCE, 4/2006, Bonn: UNU-EHS.
- Dercon, S. (2001). 'Assessing Vulnerability to Poverty'. Report prepared for the DFID. Oxford: CSAE, University of Oxford. Available at: www.economics. ox.ac.uk/members/stefan.dercon/assessing%20 vulnerability.pdf.
- Dercon, S. (ed.) (2005). *Insurance against Poverty*. Oxford: Oxford University Press for UNU-WIDER.
- DPLG (2004). 'Project Consolidate: A Hands-on Local Government Programme for 2004-2006'. Available at: www.projectconsolidate.gov.za
- Easter, C. (1998). 'Small States and Development: A Composite Index of Vulnerability'. In *Small States: Economic Review and Basic Statistics*. Annual Series 4. London: Commonwealth Secretariat.
- Easter, C. (1999). 'Small States Development: A Commonwealth Vulnerability Index'. *The Round Table*, 88 (35): 403-22.
- Gallopin, G. C. (2006). 'Linkages between Vulnerability, Resilience, and Adaptive Capacity'. *Global Environmental Change*, 16: 293-303.
- Günther, I., and S. Klasen (2007). 'Measuring Chronic non-income Poverty'. CPRC Working Paper 79. Manchester: Chronic Poverty Research Centre, University of Manchester.
- Holzman, R., and S. Jorgensen (2000). 'Social Risk Management: A Conceptual Framework for Social Protection and Beyond'. Social Protection Discussion Paper Series, 0006. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Hulme, D. (2003). 'Chronic Poverty and Development Policy: An Introduction'. World Development, 31 (3): 399-402.
- Hulme, D., and A. McKay (2005). 'Identifying and Understanding Chronic Poverty: Beyond Income Measures,'. Paper prepared for the Many Dimensions of Poverty Conference, 29 August. Brasilia.
- Hulme, D., K. Moore, and A. Shepherd (2001). 'Chronic Poverty: Meanings and Analytical Frameworks'. CPRC Working Paper, 2. Manchester: Institute of Development Policy and Management.
- Jackson, P. (2005). 'Chiefs, Money and Politicians: Rebuilding Local Government in Post-war Sierra Leone'. *Public Administration and Development*, 25 (1): 49-58.
- Jalan, J., and M. Ravallion (2002). 'Geographic Poverty Traps? A Micro Model of Consumption Growth in Rural China'. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 17: 329-46.

- Kanbur, R., and A. J. Venables (2005). 'Rising Spatial Disparities and Development'. Policy Brief 2005/3. Helsinki: UNU-WIDER.
- Klasen, S. (2000). 'Measuring Poverty and Deprivation in South Africa'. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 46 (1): 33-58.
- Krige, S., M. Schur, and G. Sippel (1998). 'The Identification of Towns in the Free State for an Urban Upgrading and Development Programme: A Proposed Method for Consideration'. *Development Southern Africa*, 15: 361-77.
- Ligon, E., and L. Schechter (2003). 'Measuring Vulnerability'. *Economic Journal*, 113: C95-C102.
- Liou, F. M., and C. G. Ding (2004). 'Positioning the Non-least Developed Developing Countries Based on Vulnerability Related Indicators'. *Journal of International Development*, 16 (6): 751-67.
- Lister, S., and A. Wilder (2005). 'Strengthening Subnational Administration in Afghanistan: Technical Reform or State-building?'. *Public Administration and Development*, 23 (1): 97-103.
- Mattes, R., M. Bratton, and Y. D. Davids (2003). 'Poverty, Survival and Democracy in Southern Africa'. Afrobarometer Working Paper 23. Cape Town: IDASA. Available at: www.afrobarometer.org/papers/AfropaperNo23.pdf
- Matthee, M., and W. A. Naudé (2007). 'Export Diversity and Regional Growth: Empirical Evidence from South Africa'. WIDER Research Paper 2007/11. Helsinki: UNU-WIDER.
- Naudé, W. A., and W. F. Krugell (2003). 'An Enquiry into Cities and their Role in Subnational Economic Growth in South Africa'. *Journal of African Economies*, 12 (4): 476-99.
- Naudé, W. A., and W. F. Krugell (2006). 'Economic Geography and Growth in Africa: The Case of Subnational Convergence and Divergence in South-Africa'. *Papers in Regional Science*, 85 (3): 443-57.
- Naudé, W. A., T. Gries, E. Wood, and A. Meintjes (2008). 'Regional Determinants of Entrepreneurial Start-Ups in a Developing Country'. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 20 (2): 111-24.
- Noble, M., M. Babita, H. Barnes, C. Dibben, W. Magasela, S. Noble, P. Ntshongwana, H. Phillips, S. Rama, B. Roberts, G. Wright, and S. Zungu (2006). *The Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation for South Africa 2001: Technical Report*. Pretoria: HSRC and Statistics SA. Available at www.statssa.gov.za/census01/HTML/ PMID/PIMDTechnicalReport2006.pdf
- Ouedraogo, H. (2003). 'Decentralization and Local Governance: Experiences from Francophone West Africa'. *Public Administration and Development*, 23 (1): 97-103.
- Prowse, M. (2003). 'Towards a Clearer Understanding of Vulnerability in Relation to Chronic Poverty'. CPRC Working Paper 24. Manchester: Chronic Poverty Research Centre, University of Manchester.
- Rossouw, S. and W. A. Naudé (2008). 'The Non-economic Quality of Life on a Subnational Level in South Africa'. *Social Indicators Research*, 86 (3): 433-52.

- Steytler, N. (2005). 'Local Government in South Africa: Entrenching Decentralized Government'. In N. Steytler (ed.), *The Place and Role of Local Government in Federal Systems*. Johannesburg: Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung Occasional Paper, 183-212.
- Turvey, R. (2007). 'Vulnerability Assessment of Developing Countries: The Case of Small Island Developing States'. *Development Policy Review*, 25 (2): 243-64.
- UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) (2003). South Africa: Human Development Report: The Challenge of Sustainable Development: Unlocking People's Creativity. Cape Town: Oxford University Press.
- Zietsman, H. L., S. L. A. Fereira, and I. J. Van der Merwe (2006). 'Measuring the Growth Potential of Towns in the Western Cape, South Africa'. *Development Southern Africa*, 23 (5): 685-700.

Hanover 1 Odendaalsrus 61 Lady Grey 3 Hoopstad 62 Richmond 4 Hoopstad 66 Amerstoort 5 Mankwe 66 Bolobedu 6 Mapumulo 67 Hompyer 7 Smithfield 68 Sekgosses 8 Sterkstroom 69 Barkley-West 9 Vredeort 71 Thumissen 11 Vredeort 72 Colesberg 12 Wakkerstroom 72 Fauresmith 13 Adelaide 73 Philipstown 14 Impendie 74 Britstown 15 Ingwavuna 75 Binstown 16 Kentani 76 Dannhauser 16 Kentani 78 Binstown 23 Utroch 77 Koffefortein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malanuleia 19 Mt Fletcher 78 <	Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005	Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005
Hanover 1 Odendaslarus 61 Hubudi 2 Sekhukhuneland 62 Richmond 4 Hoopstad 64 Amersfoort 5 Hoperown 65 Bolobedu 6 Mankwe 86 Bolobedu 6 Mankwe 86 Bolobedu 6 Mankwe 86 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 69 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendle 74 Philipstown 15 Kentani 76 Dannhauser 16 Kranskop 77 Elliot 17 Mt Fletcher 78 Mofou 20 Polela 80 Readersburg 21 Stimidangentsha 81 Steynsburg 22 Stuttreheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83				
Huhudi 2 Sekhukhuneland 62 Lady Grey 3 Hoopstad 64 Richmond 4 Hopstown 66 Bolboedu 6 Mankwe 66 Bolboedu 6 Mankwe 66 Bolboedu 6 Mankwe 67 Sekgosese 8 Smithfield 88 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 69 Kudumane 10 Vredefort 71 Colesberg 12 Wakkerstroom 72 Fauresmith 13 Adelaide 73 Philipstown 16 Kranskop 77 Eliot 17 Mt Fletcher 78 Koffefortein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamuleia 19 Polela 80 Rodersburg 22 Utrecht 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Rodersburg 26 Danana 89 Victori	Hanover	1	Odendaalsrus	61
Lady Grey 3 Hopstad and 64 Amersfoort 5 Hopstown 66 Amersfoort 5 Mankwe 66 Amersfoort 5 Mankwe 66 Boldoedu 6 Mankwe 66 Hofmeyer 7 Mapumulo 67 Hofmeyer 7 Mapumulo 67 Hofmeyer 9 Sterkstroom 69 Barkley-West 9 Virede 70 Theunissen 11 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 11 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 12 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Kentani 76 Britstown 15 Kentani 76 Britstown 15 Kentani 76 Britstown 16 Kranskop 77 Elliot 17 Mt Fletcher 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamulela 19 Polela 81 Steynsburg 22 Stuttenheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Stuttenheim 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bindwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Indwe 29 Nkandla 93 Barkley East 33 Ourbed 93 Barkley East 33 Cumba 10 Butfontein 40 Winburg 10 Butfontein 40 Winburg 10 Butfontein 41 Winburg 10 Butfontein 42 Keitsman 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Winger 97 Babanango 35 Tsolo 96 Babanango 36 Vripria 98 Bashef 95 Keitsmanboek 106 Canarani 41 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Nupoort 42 Clocolan 100 Maclear 51 Reinstron 100 Maclear 51 Reinstron 110 Maclear 53 Stepterville 111 Matali 57 Aberdeen 117 Matten 56 Baston 115 Mathal 56 Baston 115 Mathal 57 Aberdeen 117 Matten 56 Baston 115 Mathal 57 Aberdeen 117 Mathan 57 Beat 57 Baston 115 Baston 115 Baston 115 Baston 115 Baston 115 Baston 115 Baston	Huhudi	2	Sekhukhuneland	62
Richmond 4 Hopetown 62 Amersfoort 5 Hopetown 66 Bolboedu 6 Mankwe 66 Bolboedu 6 Mapumulo 67 Sekgosese 8 Smithfield 68 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 69 Kudumane 10 Vredefort 71 Thumissen 11 Wakerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendie 74 Philipstown 14 Ingwavuna 76 Dannhauser 16 Karnai 76 Eliot 17 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Free 79 Malamuleia 19 Mt Free 79 Malanuleia 19 Wt Free 79 Malanuleia 19 Zuttechier 83 Steynsburg 22 Utrach 84 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Eliotdale 25	Lady Grey	3	Hoopstad	64
Amersfoort 5 Hotekum 66 Bolobedu 6 Mankwe 66 Bolobedu 6 Mankwe 66 Bokpedu 68 Sagasese 8 Shapasese 8 Sterkstroom 69 Barkley-West 9 Vrede 70 Kudumane 10 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 11 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendie 74 Philipstown 14 Impendie 74 Philipstown 15 Ingwavuma 75 Bartistown 15 Ingwavuma 76 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simdiangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83 Victoria-West 24 Willowmore 84	Richmond	4	Honetown	65
Bolbedu 6 Mapumulo 67 Hofmeyer 7 Mapumulo 67 Sekgosese 8 Smithfield 68 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 69 Kudumane 10 Vrede 71 Theunissen 11 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendle 74 Philipstown 14 Ingwavuma 75 Bristown 15 Kentani 76 Banhauser 16 Kentani 76 Elliot 17 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malamulela 19 Mt Free 79 Malamulela 19 Wileownore 84 Bochum 24 Bethuile 82 Victoria-West 23 Willowmore 84 Bockum 24 Bethuile 85 <	Amersfoort	5	Monkwo	00
Hofmeyer 7 Mapulfuluo 67 Sekgosses 8 Smithfield 68 Barkley-West 9 Sterkstroom 69 Barkley-West 9 Vrede 70 Kudumane 10 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 11 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendle 74 Philipstown 14 Impavuma 75 Dannhauser 16 Kentani 76 Dannhauser 16 Kranskop 77 Kofffefontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Roddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Victoria-West 23 Willowmore 84 Eliotdale 25 Behulie 86 Eliotale 25 Dzanani 86	Bolobedu	6	Manureula	00
Sekgösese 8 Smithliftid 66 Barkley-West 9 Virede 70 Kudumane 10 Virede 71 Tneunissen 11 Wakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendle 74 Philipstown 14 Impendle 74 Britstown 15 Ingwavuma 75 Dannhauser 16 Kentani 76 Eliot 17 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Mopolu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Simdlangentsha 81 Sternsburg 22 Stutterheim 83 Stochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bitdywa 28 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Malanual 89 <	Hofmeyer	7	Mapumulo	67
Barikey-West 9 Sterkströom 69 Kudumane 10 Vrede 70 Kudumane 10 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 11 Wakkerströom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Philipstown 14 Impendie 74 Philipstown 15 Ingwaruma 75 Dannhauser 16 Kentani 76 Dannhauser 16 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamuleila 19 Polela 80 Reddersburg 21 Stutferheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 29 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Pkaraston 91	Sekgosese	8	Smithfield	68
Kudumane 10 Vrede 70 Theunissen 11 Vredefort 71 Theunissen 11 Vredefort 71 Calesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendle 74 Philipstown 14 Impendle 74 Britstown 15 Ingwavuma 75 Britstown 16 Kertani 76 Britstown 18 Mt Frere 78 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Frere 78 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpolu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutreheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Brdy 77 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kuruman 89 Indtwe <td>Barklev-West</td> <td>9</td> <td>Sterkstroom</td> <td>69</td>	Barklev-West	9	Sterkstroom	69
Theunisson 1 Vredefort 71 Colesberg 12 Vakkerstroom 72 Colesberg 12 Adelaide 73 Fauresmith 13 Impendie 74 Philipstown 14 Impendie 74 Philipstown 15 Kranskop 77 Eliot 17 Kranskop 77 Eliot 17 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malamulela 19 Poleia 80 Mofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stuterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Stuterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83 Bachum 24 Willowmore 84 Bachum 26 Dzanni 86 Excelsior 26 Dzanni 87 Indwe 29 Mbibara 99 J	Kudumane	10	Vrede	70
Thomas and the second	Theunissen	11	Vredefort	71
Decision of participation 12 Adelaide 73 Pauresmith 13 Impendie 74 Philipstown 14 Ingwavuma 75 Barnstown 15 Ingwavuma 75 Dannhauser 16 Kentani 76 Elliot 17 Kranskop 77 Koffefontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamulela 19 Poleia 80 Réddersburg 21 Simdlangentsha 81 Réddersburg 22 Stuterheim 82 Victoria-Viest 23 Utrech 83 Bochum 24 Wilowmore 84 Bochum 24 Wilowmore 84 Idutywa 28 Muhlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Pearston 92 Barkley East 33 Culmou 93	Colesberg	12	Wakkerstroom	72
Pailipstown 14 Impendle 74 Philipstown 14 Ingwavuma 75 Britstown 15 Kentani 76 Britstown 16 Kranskop 77 Eliot 17 Kranskop 77 Eliot 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bidutywa 24 Willowmore 84 Eliotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Idutywa 28 Mahiabathini 88 Indwe 29 Mbibana 99 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Konga	Equrosmith	12	Adelaide	73
Finipstown 14 Ingwavuma 75 Britstown 15 Kentani 76 Dannhauser 16 Kranskop 77 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Stutterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Uitcoria 86 Ekilotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Nanna 87 Idutywa 28 Mahiabathini 88 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Barkley East 33 Gumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Gumbu 93 Babanango 38 Virginia 98	Dhilipotown	13	Impendle	74
Dansbauser 15 Kentani 76 Danshauser 16 Kranskop 77 Elliot 17 Mt Fletcher 78 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Fletcher 78 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Stutterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Ultecht 83 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Elliotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 83 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Tarka 32 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Edenburg 37 Vietginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof </td <td>Pritotown</td> <td>14</td> <td>Ingwavuma</td> <td>75</td>	Pritotown	14	Ingwavuma	75
Dannhauser 16 Kranskop 77 Elliot 17 Mt Fletcher 78 Koffiefontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Victoria-West 23 Ultrocht 83 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kurdman 80 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Prieska 92 Gala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg <td< td=""><td>Britstown</td><td>15</td><td>Kentani</td><td>76</td></td<>	Britstown	15	Kentani	76
Lilot 17 Mr Frere 78 Malamuleia 19 Mi Frere 79 Malamuleia 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simdlangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Elilottale 25 Dzanani 86 Elilottale 25 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kuruman 88 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 37 Vreignia 98 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Butontein 40	Dannhauser	16	Kranskop	77
Kohteontein 18 Mt Frere 79 Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Simidangentsha 81 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Eliotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Idutywa 28 Mbibana 89 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Pearston 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Tsono 96 Koppies 36 Tsolo 102 Nuopoort 42	Elliot	17	Mt Fletcher	78
Malamulela 19 Polela 80 Mpofu 20 Polela 80 Reddersburg 21 Stimdlangentsha 81 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Victoria-West 23 Utrecht 83 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Elliotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Kuruman 89 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Preaston 91 Komga 33 Steytlerville 94 Edenburg 35 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Nuaganani 41<	Koffiefontein	18	Mt Frere	79
Mpofu 20 Nord 30 Reddersburg 21 Stutterheim 82 Steynsburg 22 Utrecht 83 Victoria-West 23 Willowmore 84 Eliotdale 25 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Mbibana 89 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Barkley East 33 Gumbu 93 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Vertersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Virginia 101 Nuopoort 42	Malamulela	19	Polela	80
Reddersburg 21 Dimbargensite 01 Steynsburg 22 Stutterheim 82 Victoria-West 23 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Eliotdale 25 Bethulie 85 Eliotdale 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Mkibana 89 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 96 Koppies 36 Tsolo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Nuapoort <td< td=""><td>Mpofu</td><td>20</td><td>Simdlangentsha</td><td>81</td></td<>	Mpofu	20	Simdlangentsha	81
Steynsburg 22 Stutement 62 Victoria-West 23 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Elliotdale 25 Bethulie 85 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Idutywa 28 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Mkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Tsono 96 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Haganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoot 42 Clocolan 103 Torompsburg <td< td=""><td>Reddersburg</td><td>21</td><td>Stuttorhoim</td><td>82</td></td<>	Reddersburg	21	Stuttorhoim	82
Victoria-West 23 Oreclin 63 Bochum 24 Willowmore 84 Bochum 24 Bethulie 85 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Idutywa 28 Mbibana 89 Indwe 29 Mkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsomo 96 Koppies 36 Tsomo 96 Babanango 38 Vryteid 99 Bultfontein 40 Williston 100 Haganani 41 Bizana 102 Trompsburg 43	Steynsburg	22	Utrocht	02
Bochum 24 Willowindre 84 Elliotdale 25 Bethulie 85 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Idutywa 28 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Pkardita 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Prieska 92 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Vsentersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Bushof 39 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Noupoort 42	Victoria-West	23		83
Elliotdale 25 Bernulie 85 Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 28 Mahlabathini 88 Idutywa 28 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Pearston 92 Barkley East 33 Qumbu 93 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsono 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Babnango 38 Virginia 98 Babnango 38 Virginia 98 Bultfontein 40 Williston 100 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoot 42	Bochum	24	Willowmore	84
Excelsior 26 Dzanani 86 Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Hay 27 Mahlabathini 88 Idutywa 28 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Pearston 91 Komga 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytierville 94 Cala 34 Steytierville 94 Cala 34 Steytierville 94 Cala 34 Steytierville 94 Cala 35 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Tsomo 96 Petrusburg 37 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Vrypinia 98 Babhof 40 Williston 100 Noupoort 42 Bizana 102 Noupoort 43 Flagstaff 104 Albert Joubertina	Elliotdale	25	Bethulle	85
Hay 27 Kuruman 87 Idutywa 28 Mahabathini 88 Indwe 29 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pkerston 91 Komga 31 Pereston 91 Komga 31 Pereston 91 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Steytlerville 94 Babanango 36 Tsomo 96 Boshof 39 Viryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Nupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44<	Excelsior	26	Dzananı	86
Induitywa 25 Mahlabathini 88 Indwe 29 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Kornga 31 Pearston 91 Tarka 32 Prieska 92 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsomo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bulfontein 40 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Garar	Hav	27	Kuruman	87
Indwe 29 Mbibana 89 Jacobsdal 30 Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Pearston 91 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Qumbu 93 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsono 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Virginia 98 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Williston 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Flagstaff 104 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Moutse 107 Delareyville	Idutywa	28	Mahlabathini	88
Nkandla 90 Jacobsdal 30 Pearston 91 Komga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsolo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Ventersburg 97 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Tormpsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Keiskammahoek 106 Caranavon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Caranarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Graneville 47 M	Indwe	20	Mbibana	89
Social Social Pearston 91 Konga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Boutfontein 40 Williston 100 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Clocolan 103 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 106 Albert 45 Keiskammahoek 106 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 107 Pedgie 109 Peddie 109 Kenhardt	lacobsdal	29	Nkandla	90
Noniga 31 Prieska 92 Tarka 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Steytlerville 94 Edenburg 35 Tsolo 95 Koppies 36 Tsomo 96 Koppies 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Hlanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Keiskammahoek 106 Carmarvon 46 Moutse 107 Pelagrimsrus 110 108 111 Maclear 51 Peldie 109 Kenhardt 50 Pelqrimsrus 110 Mutali	Komaa	31	Pearston	91
Taika 32 Qumbu 93 Barkley East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsomo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Winburg 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Blastaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Flagstaff 104 Modehouse 47 Moutse 107 Delareyville 47 Moutse 107 Fraserburg 49 Peddie 109 Maclear 51 Rouxville 111 Mutali <td>Torko</td> <td>20</td> <td>Prieska</td> <td>92</td>	Torko	20	Prieska	92
Data Net y East 33 Steytlerville 94 Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsono 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Williston 101 Hanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 106 Carnarvon 46 Moutse 107 Delareyville 47 Murraysburg 108 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Maclear 51 Pelgrimsrus 110 Mutali 53 Viljoenskroon 113 W	Talka Darklav Faat	32	Qumbu	93
Cala 34 Tsolo 95 Edenburg 35 Tsomo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Viryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Winburg 101 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Carnarvon 46 Moutse 107 Delareyville 47 Murraysburg 108 Fraserburg 49 Peddie 109 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Matali 53 Viljoenskroon 113 Willowvale 54 Wepener 114 Dewetsdorp 55 Wesselsbron 115 <tr< td=""><td>Barkley East</td><td>33</td><td>Steytlerville</td><td>94</td></tr<>	Barkley East	33	Steytlerville	94
Edenburg 35 Tsomo 96 Koppies 36 Ventersburg 97 Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Virginia 99 Boshof 39 Virginia 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Williston 101 Hlanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Moutse 107 Pelareyville 47 Murraysburg 108 Kenhardt 50 Pedie 109 Maclear 51 Rouxville 111 Mt Ayliff 52 Senekal 112 Mutai 53 Viljoenskroon 113 <t< td=""><td></td><td>34</td><td>Tsolo</td><td>95</td></t<>		34	Tsolo	95
Kopples36Ventersburg97Petrusburg37Virginia98Babanango38Vryheid99Boshof39Williston100Bultfontein40Williston101Hlanganani41Bizana102Noupoort42Clocolan103Trompsburg43Flagstaff104Wodehouse44Joubertina105Albert45Keiskammahoek106Carnarvon46Keiskammahoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Peddie109Kenhardt50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Rouxville111Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Willowvale54Viljoenskroon113Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Motteno58Aliwal North118Motteno58Aliwal North118Magnduli59Bergville120Ferstehoak60Bergville120	Edenburg	35	Tsomo	96
Petrusburg 37 Virginia 98 Babanango 38 Virginia 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Williston 100 Hlanganani 41 Winburg 101 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Delareyville 47 Moutse 107 Fraserburg 49 Peddie 109 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Maclear 51 Rouxville 111 Mt Ayliff 52 Senekal 112 Willowvale 54 Wilgenskroon 113 Dewetsdorp 55 Wesselsbron 115 Herbert 56 Zastron 116 <td>Koppies</td> <td>36</td> <td>Ventersburg</td> <td>97</td>	Koppies	36	Ventersburg	97
Babanango 38 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Vryheid 99 Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Winburg 101 Hlanganani 41 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Clocolan 103 Trompsburg 43 Flagstaff 104 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 106 Carnarvon 46 Moutse 107 Delareyville 47 Moutraysburg 108 Fraserburg 49 Peddie 109 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Mt Ayliff 52 Senekal 112 Mutali 53 Viljoenskroon 113 Willowvale 54 Wepener 114 Dewetsdorp 55 Wesselsbron 115 Herbert 56 Wesselsbron 116 <	Petrusburg	37	Virginia	98
Boshof 39 Williston 100 Bultfontein 40 Williston 101 Hlanganani 41 Winburg 101 Noupoort 42 Bizana 102 Trompsburg 43 Clocolan 103 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Delareyville 47 Moutse 107 Fraserburg 49 Peddie 109 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Maclear 51 Rouxville 111 Mutali 53 Viljoenskroon 113 Willowvale 54 Wepener 114 Dewetsdorp 55 Wesselsbron 115 Herbert 56 Wesselsbron 115 Maluti 57 Zastron 116 Maluti 59 Aliwal North 118	Babanango	38	Vryheid	99
Bultfontein 40 Winburg 101 Hlanganani 41 Winburg 101 Noupoort 42 Bizana 102 Noupoort 42 Bizana 102 Trompsburg 43 Clocolan 103 Wodehouse 44 Joubertina 105 Albert 45 Joubertina 105 Carnarvon 46 Keiskammahoek 106 Carnarvon 47 Moutse 107 Delareyville 47 Murraysburg 108 Kenhardt 50 Pelgrimsrus 110 Maclear 51 Rouxville 111 Mt Ayliff 52 Senekal 112 Mutali 53 Viljoenskroon 113 Willowvale 54 Wepener 114 Dewetsdorp 55 Wepener 114 Herbert 56 Zastron 116 Maluti 57 Zastron 116 M	Boshof	39	Williston	100
Hlanganani41Wintung101Noupoort42Clocolan103Trompsburg43Flagstaff104Wodehouse44Joubertina105Albert45Joubertina105Carnarvon46Keiskammahoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Peddie109Kenhardt50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Rouxville111Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Zastron116Molteno58Alerdeen117Mqanduli59Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bedford119Bergville120Eerstehoek121	Bultfontein	40	Winburg	101
Noupoort42Dizana102Trompsburg43Clocolan103Wodehouse44Joubertina104Albert45Keiskammahoek106Carnarvon46Moutse107Delareyville47Murraysburg108Fraserburg49Peddie109Kenhardt50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Willowvale54Viljoenskroon113Dewetsdorp55Wepener114Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Zastron116Maluti59Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bedford119Bergville120Eerstehoek121	Hlanganani	41	Bizana	107
Trompsburg43Clockan103Wodehouse44Flagstaff104Albert45Joubertina105Carnarvon46Keiskammahoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Peddie109Kenhardt50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Rouxville111Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Motteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Earstehoek121121	Noupoort	42	Clocolan	102
Wodehouse44Fragstan104Albert45Joubertina105Carnarvon46Keiskammahoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Peddie109Kenhardt50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Rouxville111Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Maluti57Zastron116Molteno58Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121120	Trompsburg	43	Elogstoff	103
Albert45Journal105Carnarvon46Keiskammahoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Murraysburg108Kenhardt50Peddie109Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Molteno58Alival North118Ngotshe60Bedford119Eerstehoek121Ferstehoek121	Wodehouse	44	Flagstall	104
Carnarvon46Keiskammanoek106Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Murraysburg108Kenhardt50Peddie109Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Rouxville111Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Vilgoenskroon115Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Molteno58Aberdeen117Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Erertehoek121	Albert	45	Joubertina	105
Delareyville47Moutse107Fraserburg49Murraysburg108Kenhardt50Peddie109Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Carnarvon	46	Keiskammanoek	106
Fraserburg49Murraysburg108Kenhardt50Peddie109Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Delareyville	47	Moutse	107
Kenhardt50Peddie109Maclear51Pelgrimsrus110Mt Ayliff52Senekal111Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Fraserburg	49	Murraysburg	108
Maclear50Pelgrimsrus110Maclear51Rouxville111Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Kenhardt	50	Peddie	109
Mitoboli51Rouxville111Mt Ayliff52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Maclear	51	Pelgrimsrus	110
Mit Ayini52Senekal112Mutali53Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Mt Avliff	52	Rouxville	111
Within55Viljoenskroon113Willowvale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Mutali	53	Senekal	112
Wildwale54Wepener114Dewetsdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Willowcolo	53	Viljoenskroon	113
Deweisdorp55Wesselsbron115Herbert56Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Devictedere	54	Wepener	114
Herbert50Zastron116Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121	Deweisdorp	55	Wesselsbron	115
Maluti57Aberdeen117Molteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Ferstehoek121		56	Zastron	116
Worteno58Aliwal North118Mqanduli59Aliwal North119Ngotshe60Bergville120Eerstehoek121		5/	Aberdeen	117
Mqanduli59Bedford119Ngotshe60Bergville120Eerstehoek121	woiteno	58	Aliwal North	118
Ngotshe 60 Bergville 120 Ferstehoek 121	Mqanduli	59	Bedford	119
Ferstehoek 121	Ngotshe	60	Bergville	120
			Eerstehoek	121

Appendix A: Local vulnerability index

Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005	Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005
Fouriesburg	122	Phalaborwa	186
Heilbron	123	Philippolis	187
Jagersfontein	124	Richmond	188
Jansenville	125	Belfast	189
Lusikisiki	126	Carolina	190
Msinga	127	Groblersdal	191
Ndwendwe	128	Marquard	192
Ntabethemba	129	Middelburg	193
Reitz	130	New Hanover	194
Schweizer-Renecke	131	Thaba Nchu	195
Warrenton	132	Uniondale	196
Cofimvaba	133	Balfour	197
Hennenman	134	Ficksburg	198
Middeldrift	135	Giyani	199
Nqamakwe	136	Glencoe	200
Sterkspruit (Herschel)	137	Thabamoopo	201
Sutherland	138	Bothaville	202
Venterstad	139	Butterworth	203
Weenen	140	De Aar	204
Calitzdorp	141	Heidelberg	205
Hartswater	142	Mdutjana	206
Ladybrand	143	Ritavi	207
Libode	144	Ellisras	208
Lindley	145	KwaMhlanga	209
Lulekani	146	Lydenburg	210
Mkobola	147	Mooi River	211
Mthonjaneni	148	Oberholzer	212
Namakgale	149	Umvoti	213
Nkomazı	150	Welkom	214
Vryburg	151	Barberton	215
Brandfort	152	Lichtenburg	216
Cathcart	153		217
Hankey	154	Soutpansberg	218
Hiabisa	155	Alexandria	219
Lady Fiele	150	Busnabelu	220
Makarang	157		221
Nokelong Port St. Johns	150	Eropkfort	222
Port St Johns	109		223
Tabankulu	161	Mtunzini	224
Imzimkulu	162	Nebo	225
Underberg	163	Piet Retief	220
Vuwani	164	Piketherg	228
Wolmaransstad	165	Libombo	220
Alfred	166	Westonaria	230
Dundee	167	Clanwilliam	231
Hewu	168	Harrismith	232
Ladismith	169	Kirkwood	233
Laingsburg	170	Mmabatho	234
Madikwe	171	Phokwani	235
Namagualand	172	Standerton	236
Naphuno	173	Thabazimbi	237
Ngqueleni	174	Thohoyandou	238
Paulpietersburg	175	Victoria East	239
Prince Albert	176	Camperdown	240
Seshego	177	Cullinan	241
Van Rhynsdorp	178	Estcourt	242
Waterval Boven	179	Fort Beaufort	243
Christiana	180	Ga Rankuwa	244
Kriel	181	Hopefield	245
Messina	182	Letaba	246
Nongoma	183	Mapulaneng	247
Nqutu	184	Brits	248
Nsikazi	185	Moorreesburg	249

Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005	Magisterial district	Ranking in 2005
Queenstown	250	Vereeniging	302
Riversdal	251	Zwelitsha	303
Temba	252	Bredasdorp	304
Volksrust	253	Caledon	305
Vredendal	254	Kimberlev	306
Waterberg	255	King Williams Town	307
Bathurst	256	Roodepoort	308
Eshowe	257	Rustenburg	309
Port Shepstone	258	Wellington	310
Umbumbulu	259	Worcester	311
Umzinto	260	Humansdorp	312
Witrivier	200	Lower Tugela	313
Calvinia	201	Mitchellsplain	31/
Ermelo	202	Bloemfontein	315
Graaff-Reinet	203	George	316
Klorkedorp	204	Nowcastla	217
Limtata	200	Brokpop	219
Dillidid	200	Hormonuo	210
Diolikiloisispiuli	207	Kliprivier	219
Dudishoom	200	Knyono	320
Palys Distoroburg	209	Mdantaana	JZ I 200
	270	Nidantsahe	322
Warmbad	271	Simonstown	323
Beaufortwest	272	Germiston	324
Bethal	273	Heidelberg	325
Betnienem	274	Lower Umfolozi	326
Gordonia	275	Somersetwest	327
Mount Currie	276	Strand	328
Somerset East	277	Benoni	329
Highveld Ridge	278	East London	330
Kroonstad	279	Wonderboom	331
Potchefstroom	280	Alberton	332
lubagh	281	Inanda	333
Witbank	282	Kempton Park	334
Albany	283	Paarl	335
Montagu	284	Springs	336
Potgietersrus	285	Stellenbosch	337
Swellendam	286	Boksburg	338
Vredenburg	287	Pinetown	339
Ceres	288	Soweto	340
Nelspruit	289	Krugersdorp	341
Nigel	290	Pietermaritzburg	342
Robertson	291	Pretoria	343
Witsieshoek	292	Randburg	344
Malmesbury	293	Wynberg	345
Mosselbay	294	Port Elizabeth	346
Sasolburg	295	Umlazi	347
Randfontein	296	Chatsworth	348
Soshanguve	297	Kuilsrivier	349
Vanderbijlpark	298	Bellville	350
Lions River	299	Cape Town	351
Middelburg	300	Johannesburg	352
Uitenhage	301	Durban	353
		Goodwood	354

Source: Authors' own calculations.

Location	VII	Location	VII
Umlazi	3.442065	Strand	1.554593
Soweto	3.118176	Thabazimbi	1.545217
Chatsworth	3.021128	Tarka	1.527044
Mdantsane	2.916892	Pinetown	1.516399
Kuilsrivier	2.681625	Albert	1.509743
Kriel	2.653671	East London	1.503234
Hanover	2.621291	Springs	1.453017
Kuruman	2.511939	Sutherland	1.425198
Inanda	2.508449	Kempton Park	1.397475
Theunissen	2.496317	Elliot	1.392928
Mitchellsplain	2.464736	Vanderbijlpark	1.391968
Phalaborwa	2.456742	Reddersburg	1.390026
Richmond	2.454046	Bolobedu	1.386444
Pietermaritzburg	2.403063	Bellville	1.372619
Goodwood	2.364468	Trompsburg	1.363803
Heidelberg	2.319403	Viljoenskroon	1.357692
Lady Grey	2.265819	Hopetown	1.324785
Kliprivier	2.189867	Bultfontein	1.324092
Soshanguve	2.167464	Humansdorp	1.320531
Huhudi	2.087433	Kenhardt	1.31268
Koffiefontein	2.084493	Knysna	1.296207
Port Elizabeth	2.050311	Molteno	1.280654
Alberton	2.047512	Hoopstad	1.269412
Fauresmith	1.984543	Ventersdorp	1.261407
Amersfoort	1.955253	Sekgosese	1.248695
Newcastle	1.945182	Pelgrimsrus	1.248094
Philipstown	1.943194	Kudumane	1.240302
Benoni	1.937425	Komga	1.226004
Krugersdorp	1.934731	George	1.225028
Fraserburg	1.897773	Uitenhage	1.222698
Victoria-West	1.871983	Mount Currie	1.219905
Jacobsdal	1.848794	Somersetwest	1.208171
Barklev-West	1.842464	Odendaalsrus	1.19513
Zwelitsha	1.841824	Ubombo	1.192384
Witsieshoek	1.782734	Durban	1.192124
Brakpan	1.746598	Virginia	1.186591
Umbumbulu	1.740202	Estcourt	1.182537
Wynberg	1 713977	Wodehouse	1 182014
Wonderboom	1 709074	Koppies	1 177905
Hav	1.683255	Excelsior	1,160702
Colesberg	1.67507	Stevtlerville	1,143776
Hofmever	1 661209	Winburg	1 132183
Postmasburg	1 653584	Noupoort	1 12099
Williston	1 633474	Ixono	1 117522
Edenburg	1 631999	Nebo	1 110921
Petrushura	1 629379	Prieska	1.110321
l ower Tugela	1 624649	Wellington	1 102854
Paarl	1 618736	Stellenhosch	1 101047
Rritstown	1 611102		1 00/5/5
Lions River	1 600203	Kimberley	1 088067
Liuns Mivel Bokeburg	1.009290	Pandfontoin	1 026246
Corponyon	1.007 102		1.000040
Camarvon Ellioroo	1.090000		1.077022
⊑ilisids Stovneburg	1.090000		1.070033
Jouharting	1.00049		1.071995
Joupenina	1.557369	iviapulaneng	011800.1

Appendix B: Vulnerability intervention index

Location	VII	Location	VII
Eshowe	1.061372	Umvoti	0.756141
Phokwani	1.058882	Cullinan	0.755721
Temba	1.056828	Vrede	0.754961
Parvs	1.054878	Pearston	0.754147
Dannhauser	1.050672	Victoria East	0.752451
Moretele	1.045632	Robertson	0.750298
Calitzdorp	1 039771	Gordonia	0 749448
Caledon	1 03481	Adelaide	0 746183
Vereeniging	1 010643	Port Shepstone	0 7432
Simonstown	1 009688	Ladismith	0 7288
Somerset Fast	1 00952	Beaufortwest	0 727237
Mankwe	1 00772	Ceres	0 724983
Willowmore	1 007178	Bathurst	0 722841
Boshof	1 005081	Hlanganani	0 721568
Barkley Fast	1 004069	Mutali	0 718548
Lower Limfolozi	1 00259	Cala	0.71689
Dewetsdorp	1 000496	Mdutiana	0.716101
Soutpansherg	0 997413	Schweizer-Renecke	0.713627
Hermanus	0.005001	Lydenburg	0.708672
Worcester	0.995901	Weenen	0.706396
Bethulie	0.981501	Mosselbay	0.705601
Vryburg	0.9801/2	Heilbron	0.702513
Melemulala	0.980142		0.702515
Nigol	0.979000	EauyDianu Fort Populart	0.095705
Niger	0.970785	Foil Beauloit	0.095251
Senakel	0.966169	Globalar	0.000907
Senekal	0.964468	Clocolan	0.679755
	0.948736	Msinga	0.679009
Van Rhynsdorp	0.947277	Allred	0.671808
Aberdeen	0.945393	Umtata	0.671569
Hartswater	0.94328	Murraysburg	0.67053
Smithfield	0.942238		0.667746
Vryneid	0.939179		0.663103
Bochum	0.936225	Ga Rankuwa	0.661883
Sterkstroom	0.934853	Nelspruit	0.661082
Ngqueleni	0.928223	Randburg	0.658331
Roodepoort	0.907763	Kirkwood	0.649615
Bethal	0.903465	Graaff-Reinet	0.628361
Albany	0.891542	Wesselsbron	0.624649
Namaqualand	0.886907	Highveld Ridge	0.614471
Kroonstad	0.886476	King Williams Town	0.596077
Botshabelo	0.879976	Bethlehem	0.587578
Bredasdorp	0.876379	Hennenman	0.58121
Umzinto	0.870936	Stutterheim	0.579871
Pietersburg	0.843652	Thohoyandou	0.577942
Tulbagh	0.841199	Camperdown	0.575494
Oberholzer	0.834629	Potchefstroom	0.571856
Jansenville	0.821565	Vredefort	0.5714
Nongoma	0.818403	Mthonjaneni	0.57126
labankulu	0.817056	Laingsburg	0.566611
Reitz	0.816378	Middelburg	0.566134
Utrecht	0.814232	Venterstad	0.563238
Delareyville	0.785448	KwaMhlanga	0.560698
Montagu	0.780474	Ntabethemba	0.554603
Mpofu	0.779558	Witrivier	0.548656
Pretoria	0.772513	Warmbad	0.543338
Idutywa	0.763045	Rouxville	0.541807
Zastron	0.759308	Paulpietersburg	0.541006

Location	VII	Location	VII
Nsikazi	0.518045	Giyani	0.287363
Wepener	0.51753	Namakgale	0.281436
Warrenton	0.516377	Engcobo	0.276761
Malmesbury	0.511964	Cradock	0.276349
Ermelo	0.508266	Calvinia	0.264329
Christiana	0.50034	Impendle	0.263349
Ngamakwe	0 496878	Klerksdorp	0.260247
Libode	0 49633	Moutse	0.260125
Sasolburg	0.494792	Mahlabathini	0.257064
Nanhuno	0.486504	Mkobola	0.250676
Thehemoono	0.485967	Rustenburg	0.250010
Port St. Johns	0.48545	Diot Potiof	0.23021
Pronkhorotonruit	0.48040	Alexandria	0.24392
Millowyolo	0.479042	Simdlengenteho	0.239130
	0.475500	Maarraaabuur	0.233001
	0.407079	Classes	0.220310
waterberg	0.443974	Giencoe Dringe Albert	0.226097
	0.437889		0.22558
vvaterval Boven	0.43788	Queenstown	0.224264
Messina	0.437747	Dzanani	0.217062
Jagerstontein	0.434593	Umzimkulu	0.215374
Fouriesburg	0.431106	Underberg	0.210987
Harrismith	0.428175	Cathcart	0.205401
Ndwendwe	0.422999	Mhala	0.20435
Nkandla	0.413581	Germiston	0.200071
Lady Frere	0.412327	Mbibana	0.199436
Richmond	0.407892	Vuwani	0.187139
Philippolis	0.398935	Peddie	0.18496
Potgietersrus	0.392082	Wolmaransstad	0.182267
Elliotdale	0.390996	Westonaria	0.181335
Piketberg	0.390073	Vredendal	0.17735
Ritavi	0.387904	Bothaville	0.165638
Welkom	0.387592	Brandfort	0.162948
Frankfort	0.384201	Keiskammahoek	0.162796
Barberton	0.371937	Mmabatho	0.157669
Clanwilliam	0.3694	Belfast	0.156706
Bedford	0.365408	Mt Ayliff	0.150098
Hlabisa	0.364711	Kranskop	0.144903
Mokerong	0.363499	Marquard	0.14119
Oudtshoorn	0.352445	Maluti	0.137692
Uniondale	0.351253	Dundee	0.133355
Heidelberg	0.345866	Flagstaff	0.125409
Sterkspruit (Herschel)	0.344092	Bergville	0.120019
Seshego	0.343272	Bizana	0.119399
Standerton	0.34172	Thaba Nchu	0.118383
Swellendam	0.338375	Vredenburg	0.117456
Hankey	0.329152	Mt Fletcher	0.111896
Lulekani	0.322708	Cofimvaba	0.110659
Lusikisiki	0.321681	Riversdal	0 104196
Ventersburg	0.320571	Mt Frere	0.096186
Nkomazi	0.319042	Mapumulo	0.08625
Hewu	0.305485	New Hanover	0.086229
De Aar	0.30354	Withank	0.085841
Carolina	0.303502	Polela	0 083942
Tsolo	0.29942	Kentani	0.00009-2
Volksrust	0.293516	Rutterworth	0.07100-
Mooi River	0.20010	Maanduli	0.059167
Naotsha	0.287885	Ingwayuma	0.059107
NUCLINE	0.207000	nywavuilla	0.000902

Location	VII	Location	VII
Madikwe	0.05659	Qumbu	0.035396
Lindley	0.05449	Babanango	0.02193
Balfour	0.050739	Middeldrift	0.01883
Cape Town	0.045969	Delmas	0.017315
Wakkerstroom	0.045553	Tsomo	0.015789
Middelburg	0.042853	Brits	0.005085
Letaba	0.039347	Ficksburg	0.002949
Lichtenburg	0.038034	Eerstehoek	0.000858

Source: Authors' own calculations.